

#### BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. W 190

MARILYN M. RUMAN, Ph.D. 211 South Beverly Drive, Suite 109

OAH No. L-2000100207

Beverly Hills, CA 90212

Psychologist's License No: PSY 6181

Respondent.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Psychology of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in the above entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective on May 17, 2001

It is so ORDERED April 17, 2001

FOR THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA Martin R. Greenberg, Ph.D., President

1 2	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California JOHN E. DeCURE, State Bar No. 150700			
	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice			
4	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013			
5	Telephone: (213) 897-8854 Facsimile: (213) 897-1071			
6	Attorneys for Complainant	,		
7				
8	BEFORE THE			
9.	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. W 190		
12	MARILYN M. RUMAN, Ph.D.	OAH No. L-2000100207		
13	211 South Beverly Drive, Suite 109 Beverly Hills, CA 90212	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND		
14	Phsychologist's License	DISCIPLINARY ORDER		
15	No: PSY 6181			
16	Respondent.			
17				
	TO BE THE DEEDNY COUNTY A THE DAN	D. A.C.DEED 1		
18		<b>D</b> AGREED by and between the parties to		
19	the above-entitled proceedings that the following ma	atters are true:		
20	<u>PARTIES</u>			
21	Complainant Thomas O'Connor ("Complainant") is the Executive Officer			
22	of the Psychology Board of California who brought	this action solely in his official capacity and		
23	is represented in this matter by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, by			
24	Deputy Attorney General John E. DeCure.			
25	2. Respondent Marilyn M. Ruman, Ph.D. ("Respondent"), is represented in			
26	this proceeding by attorney Mark A. Levin, whose address is Lewin & Levin, 12400 Wilshire			
27	Blvd., Suite 900, Los Angeles, CA 90025.			
28	3. On or about December 21, 1979, the Board of Psychology of California			

\*\*\*\*

//

1011 (ON)

**CULPABILITY** 

8. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in the Accusation, if proven at a hearing, would constitute thouse for imposing discipline upon her Psychologist's License.

- 9. Respondent admits that she violated subdivision (j) of Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 2960 (gross negligence), and subdivision (r) of Code section 2960 (repeated acts of negligence). These violations arise from misconduct generally alleged in the first and second cause for discipline in Accusation No. W 190. For the purpose of resolving Accusation No. W 190 without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent admits that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a prima facie factual basis for the misconduct alleged in the first and second causes for discipline. However, Respondent and Complainant stipulate that the specific factual basis for Respondent's admissions to these Code violations is as follows:
  - (A) Respondent socialized with two patients by dining with them at a restaurant in September of 1998, and by inviting them to a dinner party at her home in October of 1998, which they in fact attended.
  - (B) Respondent engaged in a personal, friendship-based relationship with patient S.S. during the fall of 1998, in which she disclosed personal aspects of her life that were unrelated to the patient's therapeutic needs.
  - (C) Respondent exploited the therapeutic relationship with patient S.S. by soliciting an invitation from her to a social event (a break-the-fast) on September 30, 1998, to which Respondent had not been invited.
  - (D) Although Respondent believed that patient S.S. and patient S.S.'s boyfriend had terminated their relationship, Respondent became involved in a romantic relationship with S.S.'s ex-boyfriend while S.S. was still her patient. S.S. had one therapy session with Respondent, on October 28, 1998, after Respondent had begun dating S.S.'s ex-boyfriend. In that session, S.S. discussed her discomfort from breaking up with the ex-boyfriend. Respondent counseled S.S. regarding dealing with her grief over the breakup,

while not revealing to S.S. that she (Respondent) had become involved in a romantic relationship with the ex-boyfriend.

- Respondent violated subdivision (h) of Code section 2960 (wilful communication of unauthorized confidential information) by revealing confidential patient information during therapy sessions and at social occasions she attended in which both patients and their friends, lovers, and/or spouses were also present. For the purpose of resolving Accusation No. W 190 without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent admits that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a prima facie factual basis for the misconduct alleged in the third cause for discipline.
- 11. For purposes of this settlement, Complainant withdraws the allegations in the fourth cause for discipline in Accusation No. W 190 (this count alleges violation of provisions regulating the practice of psychology as promulgated in Title 16, Article 8, section 1396.1 of the California Code of Regulations). As set forth below in paragraph 13, Complainant expressly reserves the right to not be disqualified from further action regarding this cause for discipline in the event that this stipulation is not adopted by the Division.
- 12. Respondent agrees that her Psychologist's License is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Order below.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

Respondent understands and agrees that the Board's staff and counsel for Complainant may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Order, except for this paragraph the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this stipulation.

- 14. The parties agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the original Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and signatures.
- 15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

## **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Psychologist's License Number
PSY 6181, issued to Respondent Marilyn M. Ruman, Ph.D., is revoked; the revocation is stayed,
and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. **PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION** Within ninety (90) days after the effective date of this decision and on a periodic basis thereafter as may be required by the Board or its designee, Respondent shall undergo a psychological evaluation (and psychological testing, if deemed necessary) by a Board-appointed California-licensed psychologist. Respondent shall sign a release which authorizes the evaluator to furnish the Board a current DSM IV diagnosis and a written report regarding Respondent's judgment and/or ability to function independently as a psychologist with safety to the public, and whatever other information the Board deems relevant to the case. The completed evaluation is the sole property of the Board. Respondent shall receive a copy of the completed evaluation.

If the evaluator and the Board conclude from the results of the evaluation that Respondent is unable to practice independently and safely, Respondent shall immediately cease practice and shall not resume practice until notified in writing by the Board or its designee.

During this suspension period, probation will be tolled until successful completion of suspension.

If ongoing psychotherapy is recommended for patient safety in the psychological evaluation, the Board will notify Respondent in writing to submit to such therapy and to select a psychotherapist for approval by the Board or its designee within thirty (30) days of such notification. Respondent may resume psychotherapy with her current therapist, Thomas Mintz, M.D., if ongoing psychotherapy is recommended. If Dr. Mintz becomes unavailable for any

reason, Respondent must select and submit for approval by the Board or its designee a therapist who shall 1) be a California-licensed psychologist or psychiatrist with a clear and current license, and 2) have no previous business, professional, personal or other stionship with Respondent.

Frequency of psychotherapy shall be determined upon recommendation of the treating psychotherapist with approval by the Board or its designee; however, psychotherapy shall, at a minimum, consist of one one-hour session per week for a minimum of one year.

If, at any time after one (1) years, Respondent's treating psychotherapist determines that psychotherapy is no longer required, he/she may release Respondent from this requirement with written notice to the Board or its designee.

Respondent shall execute a release authorizing the therapist to provide the Board any information the Board or its designee deems appropriate, including quarterly reports of Respondent's therapeutic progress. Respondent shall furnish a copy of this Decision to the therapist. If the therapist believes Respondent cannot continue to independently render psychological services safely to the public, he/she shall notify the Board immediately.

Respondent shall pay all costs associated with the psychological evaluation and ongoing psychotherapy. Failure to pay costs will be considered a violation of the probation order.

2. **PRACTICE MONITOR** Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a psychologist who has agreed to serve as a practice monitor. Respondent's informal once-monthly practice monitor since August of 1999 has been Jeffrey Younggren, Ph.D., and Complainant stipulates that Dr. Younggren will be an acceptable practice monitor if he is available to Respondent. If not, the monitor shall 1) be a California-licensed psychologist with a clear and current license; 2) have no prior business, professional, personal or other relationship with Respondent, and 3) not be the same person as Respondent's therapist. The monitor's education and experience shall be in the same field of practice as that of Respondent.

Once approved, the monitor shall submit to the Board or its designee a plan by which Respondent's practice shall be monitored. Monitoring shall consist of at least one hour per month of individual face-to-face meetings and shall continue for the first three (3) years

of the probationary period. If, after three (3) years of monitoring, Dr. Younggren/the monitor determines that his/her supervision is no longer required, he/she may release Respondent from this requirement with written notice to the Board or its designee.

Respondent shall provide the monitor with a copy of this Decision and access to Respondent's fiscal and/or patient records. If requested by the monitor, Respondent shall obtain any necessary patient releases to enable the monitor to review records and to make direct contact with patients. Respondent shall execute a release authorizing the monitor to divulge any information that the Board may request. It shall be Respondent's responsibility to assure that the monitor submits written reports to the Board or its designee on a quarterly basis verifying that monitoring has taken place and providing an evaluation of Respondent's performance.

Respondent shall notify all current and potential patient's of any term or condition of probation which will affect their therapy or the confidentiality of their records (such as this condition). Such notifications shall be signed by each patient prior to continuing or commencing treatment.

If the monitor quits or is otherwise no longer available, Respondent shall not practice until a new monitor has been approved by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall pay all costs associated with this monitoring requirement. Failure to pay these costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

less than twelve (12) hours of course work each year of probation in the following areas: law; ethics and ethical issues; and therapist/patient boundaries (provided that such course work is available). Course work must be <u>pre-approved</u> by the Board or its designee. All course work must be taken at the graduate level at an accredited educational institution or by an approved continuing education provider. Classroom attendance is specifically required; correspondence or home study course work shall not count toward meeting this requirement. The course work must be in addition to any continuing education courses that may be required for license renewal.

Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval a plan for meeting the educational

requirements. All costs of the course work shall be paid by Respondent.

- 4. **COST RECOVERY** Respondent shall pay the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of eight-the sandleight-hundred forty-five dollars (\$8,845.00) within the first two (2) years of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board of Psychology. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.
- 5. **PROBATION COSTS** Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board of Psychology at the end of each fiscal year. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.
- 6.. OBEY ALL LAWS Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of Psychology in California including the ethical guidelines of the American Psychological Association. A full and detailed account of any and all violations of law shall be reported by Respondent to the Board or its designee in writing within seventy-two (72) hours.
- 7.. **QUARTERLY REPORTS** Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board or its designee, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- 8.. PROBATION COMPLIANCE Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation surveillance program and shall, upon reasonable notice, report to the assigned District Office of the Medical Board of California or other designated probation monitor. Respondent shall contact the assigned probation monitor regarding any questions specific to the probation order. Respondent shall not have any unsolicited or unapproved contact with 1) complainants associated with the case; 2) Board members or members of its staff; or 3) persons serving the Board as expert evaluators.
- 9.. <u>INTERVIEW WITH BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u> Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Board or its designee upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.
  - 10. CHANGES OF EMPLOYMENT Respondent shall notify the Board in

writing, through the assigned probation monitor, of any and all changes of employment, location, and address within thirty (30) days of such change.

IN-STATE NON-PRACTICE In the event Respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State or for any reason should Respondent stop practicing medicine in California, Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within ten (10) days of the dates of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty (30) days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2902 and 2903 of the Business and Professions Code. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary order.

- 12. **EMPLOYMENT AND SUPERVISION OF TRAINEES** If Respondent is licensed as a psychologist, she shall not employ or supervise psychological assistants, interns or trainees during the course of this probation. Respondent shall terminate any such supervisorial relationship in existence on the effective date of this probation.
- 13. **FUTURE REGISTRATION OR LICENSURE** If Respondent is currently registered as a psychological assistant and subsequently obtains other psychological assistant registrations or becomes licensed as a psychologist during the course of this probationary order, Respondent agrees that this Decision shall remain in full force and effect until the probationary period is successfully terminated.
- 14. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u> If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board may, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final. No petition for modification or termination of probation shall be considered while there is an accusation or petition to revoke probation pending against Respondent.
  - 15. **COMPLETION OF PROBATION** Upon successful completion of

probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.

2 | /

1

**B**i //

4 //

5 //

6 //

7 //

8 //9 //

10 //

11 | //

12 //

13 //

14 | //

15 //

16 //

17 //

18 //

19 //

l

20 /

21 //

22 //

23 //

24

25 //

26

27

//

//

28

<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>				
I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and				
have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters contained therein with my				
attorney, Mark A. Levin, I understand the effect this stipulation will have on my Psychologist's				
License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement voluntarily, knowingly and intelligently and				
agree to be bound by the Disciplinary Order and Decision of the Board of Psychology,				
Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California. I further agree that a facsimile copy of this				
Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile copies of signatures, may be				
used with the same force and effect as the originals.				
DATED: 2 / 2 / / 2001				
MARIJAN M. RUMAN, Ph.D. Respondent				

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Marilyn M. Ruman, Ph.D. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and approve its form and content.

DATED: **Leb. 21, 2001**.

MARK A. LEVIN
Attorney for Respondent

## **ENDORSEMENT**

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Psychology Department of Consumer Affairs.

DATED: 3-1-01

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California

.....

Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

# Exhibit A:

Accusation, Case No. W 190

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General	•		
2	of the State of California ADRIAN K. PANTON, State Bar No. 64459		•	
<b>*</b>	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice	and the second s	4	
*	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702	-		
4	4 Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-6593			
5				
6	6 Attorneys for Complainant			
7	7			
8		•		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY			
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11		N 190		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case	No. W 190		
	MARILYN M. RUMAN, PH.D. A C	CUSATION		
13	3 211 South Beverly Drive, Suite 109 Beverly Hills, California 90212			
14	4			
15	Psychologist's License No. PSY 6181			
16	Respondent			
16	·		-	
17	Complainant alleges:	•		
18	<u>PARTIES</u>			
19	1. Thomas S. O'Connor ("Complainant"	) brings this accusation solely in hi	is	
20	official capacity as the Executive OfficER of the Board of Psychology, Department of			
21	Consumer Affairs.	Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about December 21, 1979, the	Board of Psychology issued		
23	Psychologist's License Number PSY 6181 to Marilyn M. Ru	man, Ph.D. ("Respondent"). The		
24	Psychologist's License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought			
25	herein and will expire on New ember 30, 2001, unless renewed.			
26	JURISDICTION			
27	This Accusation is brought before the Board of Psychology ("Board"),			
28	under the authority of the following sections of the Business and Professions Code ("Code").			

## 4. Section 2960 of the Code states:

The board may refuse to issue any registration or license, or may issue a registration or license with terms and conditions, or may suspend or revoke the registration or license of any registrant or licensee if the applicant, registrant, or licensee has been guilty of unprofessional conduct. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but not be limited to:

- (a) Conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a psychologist or psychological assistant.
- (b) Use of any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or dangerous drug, or any alcoholic beverage to an extent or in a manner dangerous to himself or herself, any other person, or the public, or to an extent that this use impairs his or her ability to perform the work of a psychologist with safety to the public.
- (c) Fraudulently or neglectfully misrepresenting the type or status of license or registration actually held.
- (d) Impersonating another person holding a psychology license or allowing another person to use hi or her license or registration.
- (e) Using fraud or deception in applying for a license or registration or in passing the examination provided for in this chapter.
- (f) Paying, or offering to pay, accepting, or soliciting any consideration, compensation, or remuneration, whether monetary or otherwise, for the referral of clients.
  - (g) Violating Section 17500.
- (h) Willful, unauthorized communication of information received in professional confidence.
- (i) Violating any rule of professional conduct promulgated by the board and set forth in regulations duly adopted under this chapter.
  - (i) Being grossly negligent in the practice of his or her profession.
  - (k) Violating any of the provisions of this chapter or regulations duly

adopted thereunder.

- (1) The aiding or abetting of any person to engage in the unlawful practice of psychology.
- (m) The suspension, revocation or imposition of probationary conditions by another state or country of a license or certificate to practice psychology or as a psychological assistant issued by that state or country to a person also holding a license or registration issued under this chapter if the act for which the disciplinary action was taken constitutes a violation of this section.
  - (n) The commission of any dishonest, corrupt, or fraudulent act.
- (o) Any act of sexual abuse, or sexual relations with a patient, or sexual misconduct which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a psychologist or psychological assistant.
- (p) Functioning outside of his or her particular field of fields of competence as established by his or her education, training, and experience.
- (q) Willful failure to submit, on behalf of an applicant for licensure, verification of supervised experience to the board.
  - (r) Repeated acts of negligence.
- 5. Title 16, article 8, section 1396.1 of the California Code of Regulations states:

It is recognized that a psychologist's effectiveness depends upon his or her ability to maintain sound interpersonal relations, and that temporary or more enduring problems in a psychologist's own personality may interfere with this ability and distort his or her appraisals of others. A psychologist shall not knowingly undertake any activity in which temporary or more enduring personal problems in the psychologist's personality integration may result in inferior professional services or harm to a patient or client. If a psychologist is already engaged in such activity when becoming aware of such personal problems, he or she shall seek competent professional assistance to determine whether services to the patient or client should be continued or terminated.

6. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

7. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under subdivision (j) of Code section 2960 for gross negligence in rendering psychological therapy to two patients. The circumstances are as follows:

## Patient S.S.<sup>1</sup>

- a. In December 1988, S.S. began therapy with respondent for issues related to her divorce after 27 years of marriage. For the first few years, S.S. saw respondent for approximately three or four time a month. The therapeutic relationship continued through approximately October 1998 with the therapy sessions over the last several years occurring sporadically. This reduction in the number of sessions was occasioned by financial considerations on the part of S.S. Respondent estimated that she saw S.S. approximately 30 times from 1990 to 1997.
- b. According to S.S., in February 1998, she sought professional advice from respondent for issues related to a relationship with a man S.S. was dating. At respondent's insistence, this therapy session was conducted at the Hamburger Hamlet in Beverly Hills. S.S. also recalled attending a Yoga party at the home of respondent sometime between February and September of 1998 and having dinner with respondent at La Scala restaurant in Beverly Hills in September 1998. During the dinner at La Scala, respondent disclosed the fact that she had been separated from her husband for nine months. Although denied by respondent, on another occasion in September 1998, S.S. recalled being told by respondent that she and her

<sup>1.</sup> The patients will be referred to by initials. Their full names will be disclosed to respondent when discovery is provided pursuant to Government Code section 11507.6.

husband were getting a divorce after 35 years of marriage, that respondent and her husband had not had sex in nine years, and that they each had lovers. After the La Scala dinner, S.S. and respondent walked to a restaurant where S.S. introduced respondent to a Mr. Moss, a man whom S.S. had been dating in a serious relationship for the past four months.

- c. S.S. was a friend of the wife of Dr. Rabow, a licensed marriage, family and child counselor. On or about September 29, 1998, Dr. Rabow and his wife hosted a break the fast party in celebration of Yom Kippur which was on September 30. According to S.S., who had been invited to the celebration, respondent contacted her to find out what S.S. was doing for Yom Kippur. Respondent asked if she could attend the event and S.S., after contacting the Rabows, told her yes. Respondent attended the celebration.
- d. S.S. also recalled that in the latter part of September 1998, respondent, at S.S.'s invitation, had dinner at Banderas Restaurant in West Los Angeles. Present at this dinner were Mr. Moss, M.K., a male patient of respondent, and S.R., M.K.'s male lover. S.S. mentioned during the dinner that she would be out of town during the first week of October. S.S. answered yes when Mr. Moss asked if he could take respondent to dinner while S.S. was out of town.
- e. On October 17, approximately two weeks after the Banderas dinner, respondent hosted a dinner party at her home. Included among the guests were all of the people who were present at Banderas. Also in attendance was D.F., another female patient of respondent. During her dinner party, respondent kept touching and hanging on Mr. Moss. S.S. described respondent's behavior as being out of control in that her halter top kept falling down which exposed her breasts and respondent was not at all embarrassed by this. In fact, respondent found it amusing.
- f. Respondent was involved in another incident at the October 17 dinner party which occurred in the presence of S.S. As previously noted, M.K. and S.R. were in attendance at the dinner party. M.K. was a patient of respondent and S.R. was his lover of approximately 18 ½ years. Respondent was aware of their romantic relationship. During the dinner party, while S.R. and respondent were engaged in small talk, respondent hugged and

kissed S.R. When respondent kissed him, she inserted her tongue into S.R.'s mouth. S.R. interpreted the kiss as being romantic and told M.K. about the incident. M.K. became upset after S.R. told him about the incident.

g. A few weeks after the October 17 dinner party, Mr. Moss terminated his relationship with S.S. telling her that he wanted to be sexually involved with other women. S.S. was upset that the relationship had ended and went to a therapy session with respondent on or about October 29. In response to respondent's recommendation, S.S. wrote a letter to Mr. Moss telling him how angry he had made her. Respondent reviewed the letter before S.S. sent it. In early November, S.S. asked respondent to refer her to a physician for anti-depressant and sleep aid medication. S.S. wanted the medication because she was distraught over her break-up with Mr. Moss. Respondent made the referral and S.S. obtained the medication.

h. In November, respondent was preparing to move to a new residence because she was going through a divorce. S.S. had made arrangements with respondent to assist her in the move. On or about November 6, S.S. went to respondent's apartment to help her unpack. At one point while S.S. was in the apartment, respondent emerged completely naked. In response to S.S.'s inquiry as to what she was doing, respondent replied that she was going to take a shower. S.S. thought that this behavior was strange and it made her feel uncomfortable.

i. S.S. last saw respondent at a fund-raising event in early December. Sometime in mid-December, S.S. heard that respondent was romantically involved with Mr. Moss. In late January 1999, Mr. Moss admitted his romantic relationship with respondent and told S.S. that he and respondent had sex while S.S. was out town during the first week of October.

j. The standard of care requires that psychologists maintain appropriate boundaries in their professional relationships with therapy patients to avoid confusion or potential exploitation of the therapeutic relationship through non-professional relationships.

This standard dictates that a psychologist is to avoid improper and potentially harmful dual relationships as well as multiple relationships that might exploit or interfere with the effectiveness

k. Respondent was grossly negligent based on the following acts and omissions, both individually and collectively, as follows: (1) respondent repeatedly invited current and former patients to social events (e.g., 9/98 Banderas Restaurant dinner and 10/98 dinner party hosted by respondent) where patient confidences were subject to disclosure; (2) in the presence of patients at non-therapeutic social events or meetings, respondent engaged in socially inappropriate behavior and sexually provocative conduct as reflected in the incident where her breasts were exposed her after allowing her halter to fall off, kissing S.R. in the lips, and presenting herself nude in the presence of S.S.; (3) respondent engaged in a long-term personal, friendship based relationship with S.S. where respondent disclosed intimate aspects of her life which were unrelated to the therapeutic needs of S.S.; (4) respondent exploited the therapeutic relationship by soliciting an invitation from S.S. to a social event hosted by Dr. Rabow to which respondent had not been invited; and (5) respondent developed a romantic relationship with the boyfriend of S.S. during the therapeutic relationship.

#### Patient M.K.

l. M.K. began therapy with respondent in September or October 1995 after being referred to her by S.S. The last session was in November 1998. Beginning July or August 1998, M.K. had therapy sessions approximately twice a week. M.K. terminated the relationship because of his discomfort relating to respondent's disclosure to him of information regarding other patients whom M.K. knew. Respondent denied discussing any of her patients with M.K.

m. According to M.K., the first disclosure occurred during a therapy session on a date he was unable to recall. M.K. was telling respondent that an attorney he had retained was a person who treated his ex-wife poorly. Respondent told M.K. that the attorney had been a patient of hers and that he was a terrible person who was selfish and had cheated on his wife. Respondent told M.K. that she had refused to see the patient anymore and she asked M.K. had he met the patient's disgusting girlfriend who respondent described as low-class and a bimbo.

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

Sometime in July or August 1998, M.K. was told by respondent at n. one of his therapy sessions that she had become friendly with a patient named D.F. M.K. if this was the D.F. who resided at a certain address and respondent told have vested in the safety of M.K. Respondent told M.K. that D.F. and her husband were getting a divorce and that the husband was a horrible person. Prior to this conversation, M.K. was unaware that D.F. was getting a divorce. At a dinner party hosted by respondent at her home on October 17, 1998 to which both M.K. and D.F. were present, respondent disclosed additional information about D.F.'s personal life. Respondent told M.K. that D.F.'s husband had sex with black women in the back seat of his car. M.K. told respondent that he did not need to know this information and respondent gave him a funny look and walked away. At a subsequent social engagement where both M.K. and D.F. were present, M.K. related to D.F. what respondent had told him at the dinner party. D.F., although shocked at the revelation, confirmed that what respondent told M.K. about the sexual escapades was true.

An additional incident occurred at the October 17 dinner which was 0. upsetting to M.K. S.R., M.K.'s lover, was also in attendance at the dinner party. The two men had been in a romantic relationship for approximately 18 ½ years and respondent was aware of the romantic nature of the relationship. During the dinner party, while S.R. and respondent were engaged in small talk, respondent hugged and kissed S.R. When respondent kissed him, she inserted her tongue into S.R.'s mouth. S.R. interpreted the kiss as being romantic and told M.K. about the incident. M.K. became upset after S.R. told him what had happened.

At an earlier point in his therapy sessions, M.K. recalled that p. respondent had a sign in her office advertising her participation in the Avon Walk Against Breast Cancer in Santa Barbara. The sign stated that contributions sponsoring her participation in the Walk would be accepted. M.K. further recalled that he received correspondence from respondent soliciting contributions for her sponsorship in the Walk. M..K. remembers making a contribution of \$100 or \$150 which he gave to respondent's receptionist.

The standard of care requires that psychologists keep in their q. confidence information obtained through the clinical relationship with their patients and disclose

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

r. Respondent was grossly negligent based on the following acts and omissions, both individually and collectively, as follows: (1) respondent disclosed confidential information relating to current or former patients, including the fact that the patients were in therapy; and (2) respondent engaged in socially inappropriate behavior and sexually provocative conduct as reflected in the incident where, knowing S.R. to be M.K.'s lover, and in the presence of others at a social event, she kissed S.R. in the lips while inserting her tongue in his mouth.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under subdivision (r) of Code section 2960 for repeated acts of negligence in rendering psychological therapy to two patients.

The circumstances are as follows:

## Patient S.S.

- a. The facts and allegations in paragraph 7, subparagraphs (a) through (j) inclusive, are incorporated here by reference.
- b. Respondent was negligent based on the following acts and omissions, both individually and collectively, as follows: (1) respondent repeatedly invited current and former patients to social events (e.g., 9/98 Banderas Restaurant dinner and 10/98 dinner party hosted by respondent) where patient confidences were subject to disclosure; (2) in the presence of patients at non-therapeutic social events or meetings, respondent engaged in

socially inappropriate behavior and sexually provocative conduct as reflected in the incident where her breasts were exposed her after allowing her halter to fall off, kissing M.R. in the lips. and presenting herself nude in the presence of S.S.; (3) respondent engaged in a long-term personal, friendship based relationship with S.S. where respondent disclosed intimate aspects of her life which were unrelated to the therapeutic needs of S.S.; (4) respondent exploited the therapeutic relationship by soliciting an invitation from S.S. to a social event hosted the Rabows to which respondent had not been invited; and (5) respondent developed a romantic relationship 7 with the boyfriend of S.S. during the therapeutic relationship. 9 Patient M.K. The facts and allegations in paragraph 7, subparagraphs (1) through 10 C. (q) inclusive, are incorporated here by reference. Respondent was negligent based on the following acts and 12 omissions, both individually and collectively, as follows: (1) respondent disclosed confidential information relating to current or former patients, including the fact that the patients were in therapy; (2) respondent engaged in socially inappropriate behavior and sexually provocative conduct as reflected in the incident where in the presence of others at a social event, she kissed S.R. in the lips while inserting her tongue in his mouth; and (3) respondent exploited the therapeutic relationship by posting in her office a sign stating that contributions to a charitable

11

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

fund-raising event in which respondent was going to participate would be accepted and sending

correspondence to M.K. which contained the same message.

(Willful Communication of Unauthorized Confidential Information)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under subdivision (h) of Code 9. section 2960 for wilfully communicating without authorization information obtained in professional confidence. The circumstances are as follows:

#### Patient M.K.

The facts and allegations in paragraph 7, subparagraphs (1) through a. (n) inclusive, are incorporated here by reference.

## FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Provisions Regulating the Practice of Psychology)

b. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under subdivisions (i) and (k) of Code section 2960 in that respondent violated the rules of professional conduct regarding interpersonal relationships with a patient or client as promulgated in Title 16, article 8, section 1396.1 of the California Code of Regulations. The circumstances are as follows:

#### Patient S.S.

a. The facts and allegations in paragraph 7, subparagraphs (a) through (j) inclusive, are incorporated here by reference.

## Patient M.K.

b. The facts and allegations in paragraph 7, subparagraphs (l) through
 (q) inclusive, are incorporated here by reference.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Psychology issue a decision:

- a. Revoking or suspending Psychologist's License Number PSY 6181, issued to respondent Marilyn M. Ruman, Ph.D.;
- b. Ordering respondent Marilyn M. Ruman, Ph.D., to pay the Board of Psychology the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and, if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring;

26 /

27 /

Taking such other and further action as the Board of Psychology deems c.

2 necessary and proper.

DATED: July 25, 2000

**Executive Officer** 

Board of Psychology
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California

Complainant